

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 MICHAEL HOLTSINGER,

No. CIV S-03-0732-MCE-CMK-P

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER AFTER *IN CAMERA* REVIEWS

14 TONYA VOROS,

15 Defendant.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding with counsel, brings this civil rights action
18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On June 23, 2009, the court issued an order granting the requests
19 to conduct *in camera* reviews of Defendant's personnel file and the California Office of the
20 Inspector General's (OIG) investigation file. The *in camera* reviews were held on June 29, 2009.

21 The *in camera* review of the OIG investigation file was held at the Office of the
22 Inspector General, located at 3927 Lennane Avenue, Sacramento, California, at 10:00 a.m.
23 Appearing for the OIG was attorney Bruce Monfross. The court reviewed all the documents
24 relating to the investigation of the incident occurring on June 22, 2002, at High Desert State
25 Prison (HDSP). All documents pertaining to Defendant Voros (formerly known as Knight),
26 including those relating to Defendant Voros's duties on June 22, 2002, the investigation relating

1 thereto, and to some extent, her involvement with Plaintiff subsequent to the incident were
2 tagged. The court directed attorney Monfross to duplicate the tagged documents and send copies
3 of all tagged documents to the parties. The court also reviewed a video tape of the altercation
4 pertaining to Plaintiff's allegations. The court ordered a copy of said tape, unedited, be made and
5 provided to the parties.

6 The *in camera* review of Defendant Voros's personnel file occurred at the Office
7 of the Attorney General located at 1300 I Street, Sacramento, California, at 2:00 p.m. Attending
8 said hearing were representatives of HDSP, Kevin Hosn of the Attorney General's Office, and
9 Carrie Frederickson, attorney for the Defendant. The court tagged and separated from the file all
10 documents pertaining to the facts involved in the present case, adverse actions taken against the
11 Defendant relating thereto, and documentation relating to her leaving the employ of HDSP. The
12 court also reviewed and tagged all employee evaluations of the Defendant during her
13 employment at HDSP. The court ordered that all tagged documents be redacted to delete social
14 security numbers and addresses. Attorney Hosn was ordered to make copies available to the
15 parties, and the original personnel file was returned to the representatives of HDSP.

16 Pursuant to the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all documents and videos
17 tagged for production during the *in camera* reviews shall be copied, redacted as directed, and
18 provided to the parties within 30 days of the date of this order.

19
20 DATED: July 8, 2009

21 
22 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26